Evolutionary Dynamics of the Human Oral Microbiome

Helen Wasielewski, Juan Maldonado, Joe Alcock¹, Naomi Mandel, Rosa Krajmalnik-Brown, Athena Aktipis Arizona State University; University of New Mexico¹

OTHER RESULTS OF INTEREST: Participants raised in the U.S., versus those not, show BACKGROUND: significant differences for measures of alpha diversity, beat Populations of the human microbiota. diversity, oral hygiene, eating behavior, and relative SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR FATING MANIPULATION HYPOTHESIS: which are continually and rapidly evolving, proportions of genus Veillonella: To date, we do not find evidence to support this hypothesis, using beta diversity metrics, are possibly under selective pressure for alpha diversity metrics, or genera abundances. manipulation capacities: any genetic Some evidence of community clustering (beta diversity) mutation that enables individual microbes for Raised in U.S. versus Not: to shift host behavior toward improved No significant differences in phylogenetic diversity survival and reproductive success should be between low and high M&M consumers: favored by natural selection [1-6]). Bacteria M&M's 300000000 that metabolize host foods are expected to Lowest 25% Highest 25% increase their access to these substances by evolving to exploit the mechanisms of food intake of their hosts [2, 6]. For oral 200000000 bacteria, such as Streptococcus mutans, mutations that enable shifts in the 1000000 mechanisms governing food intake should favor those variants that increase the intake Weigh of dietary sugar. .0000000000 **METHODS:** We conducted an association 02 study to test for relationships between 1) food intake in the lab and 2) microbiome 10000000 data, with controls for 3) recent food intake PC1 Unwei and oral hygiene behavior. Saliva was Higher within-subjects' (alpha) taxonomic stimulated and expectorated into a 50mL diversity in those Not Raised in U.S.: tube following chewing a piece of unflavored, unsweetened mastic gum for a U.S. Md = 29.5, n = 104; Non-U.S. Md = 30.77, n = 47; U = 1778, z = -2.667, p = .007 r = .22 33% period of 1 minute. Participants were then Lowest n = 39 given access to 100 grams of M&Ms and told Highest n = 34 PC1 Weighted Unifra to consume as many as they wanted. HYPOTHESIS: Positive association between abundance of saccharolytic (sugar-No Significant Differences in M&M Consumed by degrading) oral bacteria and increased intake Abundance of Saccharolytic Bacteria: of sugar (M&Ms). Streptococcus 25% Prevotella Haemophilus Fusobacterium Yes 20% Raised in US Study design: PART I, AT HOME: U.S.-Raised have more Firmicutes: 15% SURVEY 1 Weight/Height • Ethnicity Survev 1 (BMI) Raised in U.S.? 10%- Dietary restrain 5% PART II, IN LAB: 0% Oral microbiome 16s rRNA) 1) Saliva sample Lowest n = 39 Lowest 25% Highest 25% SURVEY 2 Highest n = 34 M&M's Consumed Raised in U.S. Md = 32.68. n = 105 Oral hygiene: ntake[.] Last Not raised in U.S.: Md = 27.47, n = 27.48 food Hunger Tooth When? level brushing U = 1787, z = -2.713, p = .007, r = 0.22 2) Survey 2 Sugary Mouthwash foods? for CONCLUSIONS: Our initial analyses do not support a role for common saccharolytic genera in changing oral intake of M&M candy provided during M&Ms How often? testing. We plan to continue testing this hypothesis using unstructured abundance data from the salivary microbiome results. In the next phase of • When last? our work, we will investigate specific mechanisms for possible oral bacteria manipulation of the components of eating behavior. We plan to Diet experimentally manipulate the microbiome using anti-microbial mouthwash, testing for pre- and post-treatment effects on sweet taste perception. Food access: grams of M&M ents: Thanks to Juan Maldonado, Athena Aktipis, Joe Alcock, Naomi Mandel, & Rosa Krajmalnik-Brown, ASU, UN 3) Food

Works state: 1) Alsock-1, C.C. Maley, and C.A. Maley, is realing holensis messpeciated by the promotion train microbiol 20 2) To image: P. and P. Borsanis, Homess and Jappeneganismics: Monitor Microbio, Visuari, Ampandia Ganza, and Olara. 2) Exames, V.O., et al., Anternit debaseirs and the Maleotasianes. Science, 2022. 2346(304): p.:388-397. 4) Archite, L.A. and K.S. Thau, Analom Mahorizaments in microbiology. Annual Buddhano, 2021. 32(1): p. 425-436.

consumed

et al., Microbiomes, plousible players or not in alteration of host behavior. Fron Malina, and A.T. Gewirtz, Hypothesis: bacteria control host appetites. J Bacteri